

Message

---

**From:** Galbraith, Michael [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=0ABF7F5C1A5E462E8096CB58EF9757EB-MGALBRAI]  
**Sent:** 4/19/2017 3:16:38 PM  
**To:** Lee, Jae [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=6e8957da9f254aab83632814f05d1cd2-JLee10]  
**Subject:** RE: Tradebe Status

Mike Galbraith  
Permits Branch (5303P)  
Program Implementation/Information Division  
Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20460

(703) 605-0567

---

**From:** Lee, Jae  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 19, 2017 10:47 AM  
**To:** rjean@idem.in.gov  
**Cc:** Galbraith, Michael <Galbraith.Michael@epa.gov>; Valentino, Michael <Valentino.Michael@epa.gov>; Cunningham, Michael <cunningham.michael@epa.gov>; Setnicar, Mary <Setnicar.Mary@epa.gov>; John Naddy <jnaddy@idem.in.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Tradebe Status

Thanks, Ruth

So I will take that ETC can appeal the IDEM's draft RCRA permit even if they have not submitted review comments during the comment period, though I am not sure how they can demonstrate they are an adversely affected party.

Jae

---

**From:** JEAN, RUTH [<mailto:RJEAN@idem.IN.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 19, 2017 9:33 AM  
**To:** Lee, Jae <[lee.jae@epa.gov](mailto:lee.jae@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Tradebe Status

Jae,

If the petitioner can demonstrate that they are an aggrieved or adversely affected party, then they can appeal.

Thanks,

Ruth

---

**From:** Lee, Jae [<mailto:lee.jae@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 19, 2017 9:49 AM  
**To:** JEAN, RUTH <[RJEAN@idem.IN.gov](mailto:RJEAN@idem.IN.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Tradebe Status

Ruth,

One other questions is that can ETC appeal your permit if they have not submitted comment during the public comment period? The federal rule is that only the people who has submitted comment during the public comment period can appeal the permit to the portion of the permit they have commented.

Jae

---

**From:** JEAN, RUTH [<mailto:RJEAN@idem.IN.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 19, 2017 5:17 AM  
**To:** Lee, Jae <[lee.jae@epa.gov](mailto:lee.jae@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Tradebe Status

I have not received any comments. If I do, you will see the comments when I respond to them with the issuance of the final decision in approximately 4-6 weeks.

---

**From:** Lee, Jae [<mailto:lee.jae@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 18, 2017 10:41 AM  
**To:** JEAN, RUTH <[RJEAN@idem.IN.gov](mailto:RJEAN@idem.IN.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Tradebe Status

Ruth,

I guess the public comment period for the RCRA draft permit for Tradebe is ended.

Was ETC submitted any comments for the draft permit? If they did, can you share with us?

Jae

---

**From:** JEAN, RUTH [<mailto:RJEAN@idem.IN.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 12, 2017 10:34 AM  
**To:** Lee, Jae <[lee.jae@epa.gov](mailto:lee.jae@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Tradebe Status

No

---

**From:** Lee, Jae [<mailto:lee.jae@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 12, 2017 11:30 AM  
**To:** JEAN, RUTH <[RJEAN@idem.IN.gov](mailto:RJEAN@idem.IN.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Tradebe Status

Ruth

Has the Environmental Technology Council submitted review comments for the State RCRA draft permit for Tradebe?

Jae

---

**From:** Lee, Jae  
**Sent:** Monday, April 10, 2017 10:43 AM  
**To:** 'JEAN, RUTH' <RJEAN@idem.IN.gov>  
**Cc:** John Naddy <jnaddy@idem.in.gov>; Setnicar, Mary <setnicar.mary@epa.gov>; SCHROER, CRAIG <CSCHROER@idem.IN.gov>; Valentino, Michael <valentino.michael@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Tradebe Status

Ruth,

I would like to let you know that we received response (mostly CBI) for the information request of the Desorption Units from Tradebe.

We have a meeting scheduled with Tradebe's representatives on April 12 at Chicago to discuss mass balance aspects of the units.

We are also scheduled a conference call with HQ and Region 6 on April 17.

If things are moving well, we might able to send a memo to HQ of the Region 5's position on this permit exemption issue by the end of April or early May.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Jae

---

**From:** JEAN, RUTH [mailto:RJEAN@idem.IN.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 07, 2017 11:26 AM  
**To:** Lee, Jae <lee.jae@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Valentino, Michael <Valentino.Michael@epa.gov>; John Naddy <jnaddy@idem.in.gov>; Setnicar, Mary <Setnicar.Mary@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Tradebe waste derived fuel issue

Jae,

As I've informed you before, any questions related to the SDS decision should be directed to John Naddy.

When you called earlier, you asked if Tradebe generates HW fuels from their fuel blending operations, and who utilizes those fuels. For clarification, my answers were in relation to their permitted fuel blending operations only. I want to ensure that you did not think I was discussing the SDS unit.

For future reference, please understand that I cannot answer any questions regarding the SDS units. I am not familiar with the SDS, nor was I involved in the original decision. I can only answer questions regarding their hazardous waste permit.

Thanks,

Ruth

---

**From:** Lee, Jae [<mailto:lee.jae@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 07, 2017 11:33 AM  
**To:** JEAN, RUTH  
**Cc:** Valentino, Michael  
**Subject:** Tradebe waste derived fuel issue

Ruth,

HQ came up a question that the IDEM's March 31, 2006 letter (attached) states that, in the second page, fifth paragraph, "If the unit was used to produce fuels or merely for treatment, the unit would require a HW treatment permit".

Since Tradebe generates hazardous waste derived fuels for the blending to send to off-site cement kilns, should they be required to have a treatment permit?

Any thoughts on this? This letter was referenced in the CAA permit.

Jae